

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/804,900	Applicant(s) BURKUS ET AL.
	Examiner Jerry Cumberledge	Art Unit 3733

All Participants:

(1) Jerry Cumberledge.

(2) Douglas Collier.

Status of Application: After Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 November 2007

Time: 2:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 20-60 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims discussed:

20-60

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 EDUARDO C. ROBERT
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner explained that he mistakenly indicated in an advisory action mailed on 10/03/2007 that the claim amendments filed 04/17/2007 would require further search and/or consideration. The claim amendments had previously been considered (see Final Office Action mailed 07/17/2007, pages 18-21), and as such, the Final Office Action is proper and will not be withdrawn. Furthermore, the arguments presented in the request for reconsideration filed on 09/13/2007 will be entered and be considered by the examiner. Applicant's representative is encouraged to contact the examiner if there are any further issues regarding the case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James J. [unclear]", is written in a cursive style.